

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-20 are pending. By this Amendment, claims 1-20 are amended only for clarity. No new matter is introduced.

Applicants appreciate the courtesies extended to Applicants' representatives, Mr. Paul Tsou and Mr. Daniel Tucker, during the June 12 personal interview. The substance of the personal interview is incorporated in the remarks below.

The Office Action objects to claim 4 under 37 C.F.R. §1.75(a). Claim 4 has been amended to obviate this objection. Withdrawal of the objection to claim 4 is respectfully solicited.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-5, 7-9, 14-17, 19 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §102 over Castelli et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,748,221); claims 10-12 under 35 U.S.C. §103 over Castelli; and claims 6 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. §103 over Castelli in view of Uchida et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,816,844). These rejections are respectfully traversed. Applicants note that the Office Action Summary rejects claims 1-20, but the detailed action does not apply any art against claim 13. Therefore, Applicants assume that the Office Action Summary is in error and claim 13 is allowed.

Regarding claim 1, the Office Action asserts that Castelli discloses "determining if color misregistration has occurred based on spectrophotometric analysis of the registration patch (Col. 6, Rows 2-10)." We disagree.

As agreed to during the interview, Castelli does not disclose or suggest determining if color misregistration has occurred based on spectrophotometric analysis of the registration patch. Uchida also does not disclose or suggest the aforementioned limitation. Thus, Castelli and Uchida, individually or in combination, do not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claim 1.


Claims 2-7 depend from claim 1. Thus, Castelli and Uchida, individually or in combination, do not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 1-7. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejections of claims 1-5 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. §102 and claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. §103.

Castelli and Uchida, individually or in combination, also do not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in Claims 8 and 20 for the reasons discussed above. Claims 9-19 depend from claim 8. Thus, Castelli and Uchida, individually or in combination, do not disclose or suggest the subject matter recited in claims 8-20. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claims 8-9, 14-17, and 19-20 under 35 U.S.C. §102, and claims 10-12 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. §103.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-20 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'James A. Oliff', written over a horizontal line.

James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Paul Tsou  
Registration No. 37,956

JAO:DCT/tjx

Date: June 13, 2007

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 19928**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

<p><b>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION</b> Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 24-0037</p>
---